

|   |                               |                              |  |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No.<br>10/672,416 | Applicant(s)<br>TENCH ET AL. |  |
|   | Examiner<br>Luan V. Van       | Art Unit<br>1753             |  |

**All Participants:**

 (1) Luan V. Van.

 (2) David Zoetewey.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 March 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*During a telephone conversation with the Applicant's representative, Mr. Zoetewey acknowledged that a response was not filed.*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)